

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

Optimizing Pairing Practices for Female New Zealand White Rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*)

Katie Wearsch, BS, LAT; Sarah Thurston, BS, LAT; Lisa Burlingame, BS, LVT, LATg; Patrick Lester, DVM, MS, DACLAM; Jennifer Lofgren, DVM, MS, DACLAM

> Refinement and Enrichment Advancements Laboratory Unit for Laboratory Animal Medicine



Introduction

- The University of Michigan's Unit for Laboratory Animal Medicine (ULAM) manages a large transgenic breeding colony of New Zealand White Rabbits (NZW), with an average yearly census of up to 400 rabbits.
- Within the last year, ULAM has created and maintained 172 pairs of rabbits. Male and female rabbits are pair-housed upon weaning and often only become singly housed upon experimental necessity.
- Upon review of literature and consultation with other institutions ^{12,4,5,6}, ULAM also began pairing adult female NZWs newly arrived from the vendor by leveraging their shared stress from shipping to enhance social bonding, and marking with buck urine to signal a social grouping.
- Pairing new arrival NZWs has been very successful, with a current success rate of 94% (n=31 pairs), while pairing attrition NZWs had a success rate of only 50% (n=4 pairs). These results indicate adequate shared stress (stress-bonding) is an important component for successful pair introductions.
- In addition to stress-bonding, the idea of matching pairs based on temperament was adopted, using the Latency to Approach a Novel Object method ⁸ (LA) (Fig. 4).
- Established pairs in the vivarium were evaluated for temperament using LA (Fig. 1-4). Rabbits that scored 35 seconds or less were classified as Dominants while rabbits that scored one minute or more were classified as subordinates (Fig. 5)
- Using this as a baseline, pair introductions were made using these latency score thresholds to predict more compatible pairs. We predicted that temperament testing, coupled with stress-bonding and buck urine marking would render a more successful rabbit pairing program.

MethodsICHIG

Figure 1. Pair Evaluation Methods	Figure 2. Identifying Behaviors	Figure 3. Pair Success
Observe for 15 minutes at feeding time	Dominant	Pass
Identify the Dominant/ Subordinate rabbit (Fig.2)	Mounting Chasing Grooming partner Chin marking	Clear dominant/subordinate behaviors Sharing resources Grooming (Allo or Self) Sharing space Interaction with enrichment
emperament Test at least 2 hours post-feed	Subordinate	Fail
	Accept Mounting Fleeing Accept Grooming Chin down	Incessant chasing over 30 seconds despite distracting interventions (sprayed water, additional enrichment) Lesions resulting in veterinary attention
Record pair latency scores		

Figure 4. Temperament Test







Fig. 5. Established pairs within the existing colony (n=16 pairs) were evaluated for identifying behaviors and given temperament tests to provide baseline thresholds.

Fig. 6. Pair introductions were conducted using temperament test matching of 28 attrition rabbits (n=14 pairs). Dominant (< 35 sec) and subordinate (>60 sec) latency scores were matched. Pass Pairs were deemed compatible, and Fail Pairs were deemed incompatible.

Fig. 7. Of the compatible pairs (n=10 pairs), resulting dominants and subordinates were identified with corresponding latency scores from temperament tests given before introduction. (yellow/blue shading indicates dominant/subordinate thresholds)

Fig. 8. Of the compatible pairs (n=10 pairs), rabbits identified as resulting dominants had latency scores under 35 seconds (Positive Dominant) or over 35 seconds (Negative Dominants). Rabbits identified as resulting subordinates had latency scores over 60 seconds (Positive Subordinate) or under 60 seconds (Negative Subordinate).

Pair Introductions made by temperament test matching has a current success rate of 71% (n=14 pairs) as shown in Figure 6. Of the ten compatible pairs, predicted dominants were 70% accurate and predicted subordinates were 50% accurate as shown in Figure 8.

 Of the ten compatible pairs, all had a minimum difference of 22 seconds between latency scores. 70% had an average difference of 38 seconds between latency scores. This may indicate the spread between latency scores may be more significant than the actual numerical values, as shown in Figure 7.

Discussion

Conclusion

- Pair introductions utilizing temperament testing creates an increased ability to match compatible female NZWs, allowing for a greater chance of creating a successful pair.
- It must be considered that rabbit pair failure does not necessarily reflect the ability to be pair-housed, but rather the incompatibility between individuals.

Future Directions

- Further research is underway to consistently evaluate established pairs by using the LA temperament testing model. We will evaluate the effect of pair stability and longevity as it relates to temperament scores, and investigate if there are additional metrics that can better predict pair compatibility.
- Considerations are also being made on environmental and physiological factors which might affect pair introductions and stability, such as room changes, other conspecifics in the room, sexual maturity, and experimental activity.

In this study, we have thus far matched only dominant and subordinate latency scores as established with our baseline pairs. Future tests will evaluate pairing two subordinate scores, or scores that fall within the gap between dominant and subordinate scores (between 35 and 60 seconds).

References

1. Harriman, M. Introducing Rabbits: bonding techniques for matchmakers. [film] (Drollery Press Alameda, CA, 1994).

DiMello, M. Bonding Rabbits. <http://rabbit.org/faq-bonding-multiple-rabbits/> (2011).
 Council, N. R. Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals: Eighth Edition. (The National Academies Press, 2011).

 Valuska, A. J. & Mench, J. A. Size does matter: The effect of enclosure size on aggression and affiliation between female New Zealand White rabbits during mixing. Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 149 (1), 72-76 (2013).

 DiVincenti, J. L. & Rehrig, A. N. The Social Nature of European Rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) Journal of the American Association for Laboratory Animal Science. 55 (6), 729-736 (2016).
 Mykytowycz, R. Territorial marking by rabbits. Sci Am. 218 (5), 116-126 (1968).

5. Mystowycz, R. eninolan maning of tablas. Sociani. 210 (b), 100-120 (1500). T. Thurston S. L. B., J. Lofgen, Troubleshooting Aggressive Behaviors in Pair Housed Rabbits Using Environmental Enrichment. (University of Michigan, Poster Presented at National AALAS Meeting 2015. 2015).

 Heath-Lange S, Ha JC, Sackett GP. Behavioral measurement of temperament in male nurseryraised infant macaques and baboons. American journal of primatology. 1999;47(1):43-50.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the multiple rabbit users at the University of Michigan for the generous use of their colonies, in particular the laboratory of Dr. Eugene Chen and the CAMTraST team. #BoivinStrong

